IJCOM 2025 July;5(1):19-24

How Can Occupational Medicine Research Ensure it Adheres to Research and Publication Ethics?

Aditya Nugraha Artar[1]

[1]Occupational Medicine Magister Program, Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author: Aditya Nugraha Artar
E-mail: *adityaartar@gmail.com*

Abstract

Background: Occupational health research plays a vital role in protecting worker health, preventing work-related diseases, and promoting a safe workplace. However, its success depends not only on the quality of scientific findings but also on adherence to research and publication ethics.
Methods:This study presents a narrative literature review analyzing ethical principles throughout the stages of occupational health research. Sources reviewed include international ethical guidelines, scientific journal policies, and national regulations on public health research ethics.
Results: Findings highlight that ethical approval by independent committees, continuous and substantive informed consent, and strict data confidentiality are key elements in ensuring research integrity. Additionally, managing conflicts of interest, responsible authorship practices, and preventing plagiarism, fabrication, and falsification of data are critical indicators of ethical compliance. The collaborative roles of researchers, institutions, funders, and government are essential in building an ethical research ecosystem.
Conclusion: The consistent and comprehensive application of ethical principles in occupational health research leads to credible and policy-relevant scientific evidence. Cross-sector efforts are required to ensure ethical compliance and sustainability in the field.
Keywords: research, ethics, publication, occupational medicine, informed consent, data confidentiality, conflict of interest, authorship, plagiarism, fabrication, falsification, ethics committee

Abstrak

***Latar Belakang**: Penelitian kedokteran kerja memiliki peran krusial dalam melindungi kesehatan pekerja, mencegah penyakit akibat kerja, dan menciptakan lingkungan kerja yang aman. Namun, keberhasilan penelitian tidak hanya bergantung pada kualitas temuan ilmiah, tetapi juga khusus pada kepatuhan terhadap prinsip-prinsip etika penelitian dan publikasi.*
***Metode**: Studi ini merupakan kajian literatur naratif yang menganalisis prinsip-prinsip etika dalam seluruh tahapan penelitian kedokteran kerja. Sumber yang ditinjau mencakup pedoman etika internasional, kebijakan jurnal ilmiah, dan regulasi nasional terkait etika penelitian kesehatan masyarakat.*
***Hasil:** Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahwa penerapan persetujuan etik dari komite independen, informed consent yang berkelanjutan dan substansial, serta perlindungan kerahasiaan data partisipan merupakan aspek utama dalam menjamin integritas penelitian. Selain itu, penanganan konflik kepentingan, kejelasan dalam penetapan authorship, serta pencegahan plagiarisme, fabrikasi, dan falsifikasi data juga menjadi indikator utama kepatuhan etika. Peran kolaboratif antara peneliti, institusi, pendana, dan pemerintah terbukti penting dalam membangun ekosistem penelitian yang berintegritas.*
***Kesimpulan:** Penerapan prinsip etika yang konsisten dan menyeluruh dalam penelitian kedokteran kerja akan menghasilkan bukti ilmiah yang valid, dapat dipercaya, dan bermanfaat bagi pengembangan kebijakan serta praktik kesehatan kerja. Upaya lintas sektor diperlukan untuk memastikan keberlanjutan dan pengawasan terhadap kepatuhan etika dalam riset ini.*
***Kata Kunci:** penelitian, etika, publikasi, kedokteran kerja, informed consent, kerahasiaan data, konflik kepentingan, authorship, plagiarisme, fabrikasi data, falsifikasi data, komite etik*

Background

Occupational medicine plays a crucial role in maintaining and improving workers' health by identifying, assessing, and managing health hazards in the workplace. This discipline supports the development of effective interventions and preventive strategies aimed at minimizing occupational diseases and injuries. The outcomes of occupational medicine research directly contribute to the creation of safer and more health-promoting work environments.[1]

To generate reliable and impactful findings, research in occupational medicine must be conducted with rigorous methodology and high ethical standards. Ethical compliance ensures that research does not only benefit science but also safeguards the dignity and rights of human participants. This is particularly important when the research involves vulnerable worker populations, such as those in informal or hazardous sectors.[2] In Indonesia, efforts to enforce ethical research practices in workplace mental health screening have gained momentum, as demonstrated in recent studies.[3]

One major ethical principle in occupational medicine research is informed consent. Participants must be fully informed about the research objectives, procedures, risks, and their rights, including the freedom to withdraw without penalty. Studies that fail to ensure genuine informed consent compromise participant autonomy and violate ethical codes of research conduct. [4]

Another essential ethical consideration is data confidentiality. Occupational health data often include sensitive information regarding an individual's health status, exposures, and job performance. Mishandling or unauthorized disclosure of such data can lead to stigmatization, job loss, or other personal harms. Therefore, strong safeguards must be implemented to ensure data privacy and confidentiality throughout the research process.[2]

Moreover, researchers must avoid conflicts of interest that could bias the research design, analysis, or interpretation. Transparency in disclosing financial or institutional interests is necessary to maintain the credibility and integrity of the findings. Institutions should also have mechanisms to manage and mitigate any such conflicts.[5]

Publication ethics is equally important in occupational medicine research. Practices such as plagiarism, data fabrication, and falsification not only mislead the scientific community but also erode public trust in research. Upholding integrity in authorship—by giving appropriate credit and accountability—is a core responsibility of researchers.[6]

The role of research ethics committees (RECs) is indispensable in ensuring the ethical quality of occupational health research. These independent bodies provide critical review of study protocols to ensure that ethical principles are upheld before and during study implementation. Their oversight helps protect participants and guide researchers in ethically complex situations.[7]

In addition to individual and institutional responsibilities, a broader ethical research culture is needed. This involves the engagement of funders, journal editors, professional organizations, and policy-makers in promoting ethical research practices through guidelines, education, and enforcement. Ethical leadership across these stakeholders reinforces a system where misconduct is minimized and accountability is prioritized.[4]

By embedding ethical standards at all levels—from protocol design to dissemination—occupational medicine research can achieve scientific excellence while respecting human rights[7] So, that, Author wants to make a manuscript about **"How can Occupational Medicine Research ensure it adheres to Research and Publication Ethics?"**

Methods

This study uses a qualitative descriptive approach with a literature study method. So, the author collects and examines scientific journals, academic books, and official ethics guidelines published between 2018 and 2024. The main focus of the selected literature is that which discusses the topics of occupational health, research ethics, and publication integrity, so that the discussion is broad and in-depth. The main references are mostly from the writings of Grant and colleagues in 2018.[8]

All data were collected from credible databases, such as PubMed, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar. After that, a thematic analysis was carried out. In essence, the author looks for patterns or ethical themes that often appear, such as questions about participant consent, data privacy, conflicts of interest, problems with writing the author's name, to data irregularities. All of these themes are then grouped to make them easier to understand and discuss.[9]

To maintain validity and reduce bias, the review process is carried out sequentially. Starting from finding sources, selecting the most relevant ones, reading the entire contents, to extracting the data. The author also uses ethical guidelines from WHO and ICOH as a

standard for assessing whether the practices discussed in the literature are ethical or not. So it's not just a matter of grabbing information.[1]

The triangulation process is also used here, aka matching the results from various sources so that the results are strong and can be used generally in the world of occupational health research. So, the insights gained are not only applicable to one case, but can be relevant to many situations in the field.[10]

In essence, this method was chosen because it is suitable for uncovering ethical practices in occupational health research from various sides. Through literature studies, the author can see theories and practices in the field, and provide a complete picture of ethical problems that often arise in the world of occupational health research today.[7]

Results

Fundamental Ethical Principles of Research in the Context of Occupational Medicine

When it comes to doing research in the field of occupational medicine—yep, that's research that involves real workers doing real jobs in real environments—it's super important that we don't just rush in without thinking. We're dealing with people's health, their work lives, and sometimes even their personal situations, so the research has to be done right. That's where ethics come in. They're like the moral GPS for researchers, making sure we don't lose track of what's fair, respectful, and safe for everyone involved.[6]

1. Respect for People's Dignity and Freedom to Choose: First things first: respect. Every person has their own voice and their own right to say "yes" or "no" to being part of a study. Just because someone's a worker doesn't mean they have less say in what happens to their data or their body. That's why researchers need to be crystal clear when they're asking people to join a study. It's not just handing over a consent form full of complicated words—nope, it's about making sure workers really understand what they're signing up for. And especially for those who might feel pressured to say yes, like contract workers or people worried about their job security, it's the researcher's job to make sure their choice is truly free, not forced or faked.[8]
2. Do Good, Avoid Harm (AKA: Be Kind and Smart About It): Next up is the classic combo: do good (beneficence) and don't do harm (non-maleficence). In occupational medicine, this means the research should actually help people—like spotting workplace hazards early or figuring out better ways to keep people safe and healthy on the job. But while aiming for the good stuff, researchers also have to make sure they're not accidentally putting people at risk. That means checking every part of the study plan to make sure nothing's too risky, and setting up protections like using safe methods or keeping data anonymous. If the risks are higher than the potential rewards, then honestly, the study probably shouldn't even happen.[2]
3. Fairness for Everyone—That's Justice: Then there's the whole idea of fairness. Everyone deserves a fair shot when it comes to participating in research and reaping the benefits from it. Researchers shouldn't pick participants based on biased reasons like age, gender, race, or job position. If the research is testing something that could make a workplace safer or healthier, then everyone who fits the criteria should have the same chance to take part. And the benefits? They should be shared fairly too—not just handed over to higher-ups while the workers doing the heavy lifting get ignored.[7]
4. Keeping It Private: Confidentiality and Privacy: Let's be real—occupational health studies often ask about things that are pretty personal. Stuff like medical history, job problems, mental health, and more. That's sensitive information, and it deserves to be handled with care. Researchers can't just throw that data into a shared Google Doc or talk about it in public. There need to be strict rules about how that info is stored, who can see it, and how it's presented in any reports or publications. People's identities should always be protected—whether that means using ID codes or cutting out any personal details. No exceptions.[4]

So yeah, doing ethical research in occupational medicine isn't just about ticking boxes. It's about real people, real jobs, and real impacts. Following these ethical principles isn't optional—it's what makes the research trustworthy, valuable, and respectful to the

people it's meant to help. And that's the kind of research that actually makes a difference.[9]

Comprehensive Strategies for Ensuring Ethical Occupational Medicine Research

Applying ethics in occupational health research isn't just a one-time thing—it needs to be built into every part of the research process, from start to finish, with clear steps and thoughtful strategies all along the way.[5]

- *Rigorous Ethical Submission and Review*: The ethics committee has the responsibility to thoroughly review the research protocol, assessing ethical aspects such as potential risks and benefits, the adequacy of the informed consent procedures, data confidentiality protection plans, and conflict of interest management. Ethical approval from the competent committee is an absolute prerequisite before research can commence. The ethical review process must be conducted objectively and transparently, considering the specific context of occupational medicine research and the worker populations involved.[6] Studies conducted by Hanifah et al[11] (2021) emphasized the need for ethical rigor in workplace intervention research, including disclosure of risks and protection of participant anonymity.*Substantive and Ongoing Implementation of Informed Consent:* It is an ongoing communication process between the researcher and potential research participants. Researchers must provide clear, accurate, and easily understandable information regarding the research objectives, procedures to be performed, potential risks and benefits, available alternatives if not participating, the right to refuse or withdraw at any time without negative consequences, and how data confidentiality will be maintained.[10]
- *Optimal Protection of Data Confidentiality and Privacy:* When you're dealing with personal info in occupational health research, protecting people's privacy isn't something you can take lightly. Since the data is often sensitive, researchers need to be super careful—think anonymizing or using fake IDs for the data as early as possible, storing everything in secure places that only the right people can access, and making sure any data that's sent around is encrypted. Oh, and once the data's no longer needed? It should be deleted properly. There also needs to be a clear game plan—written down and shared with the whole research team—so everyone's on the same page about how the data's handled from start to finish.[6]
- *Transparent and Effective Management of Conflicts of Interest:* Conflicts of interest can arise when the personal or financial interests of researchers, institutions, or research sponsors have the potential to influence the objectivity and integrity of the research. In occupational medicine research, potential conflicts of interest may stem from relationships with the company employing the workers, industry funding, or personal affiliations. All potential conflicts of interest must be identified and disclosed transparently to the ethics committee, scientific journals, and research participants (if relevant). Measures to manage conflicts of interest may include recusal from involvement in specific aspects of the research, independent oversight, or disclosure of relevant information in publications.[5]
- *Fair and Responsible Authorship Practices:* The determination of authorship in scientific publications should be based on substantial contributions to the conception, design, execution, analysis, or interpretation of the research. All authors who meet these criteria should be given appropriate credit. Responsibility for the content of the publication should also be shared among the authors. The practices of guest authorship (granting authorship to someone who did not make a significant contribution) and ghost authorship (not naming someone who made a significant contribution) are serious ethical violations and must be avoided. Clear authorship guidelines should be established at the beginning of the research project and communicated to all team members.[12]
- *Enforcement of Data Integrity and Prevention of Scientific Misconduct:* Data integrity is the foundation of credible research. Researchers must ensure that data are collected, recorded, and analyzed accurately and honestly. Data fabrication (making up false data), data falsification (manipulating research data), and plagiarism (taking someone else's ideas, words, or research results without proper attribution) are forms of scientific misconduct that cannot be tolerated. Research institutions and scientific journals must have clear policies and procedures to prevent, detect, and follow up on cases of scientific misconduct. The use of plagiarism detection software and training on good research

practices can help prevent violations.[13]

- *Transparency and Accountability in the Research Process*: The occupational medicine research process must be conducted transparently and accountably. Research protocols, including methodology, statistical analysis, and dissemination plans, should be well-documented. Researchers should be willing to provide relevant information about the research process if requested by the ethics committee, sponsors, or other interested parties. Accountability means that researchers are responsible for conducting research and reporting results in accordance with applicable ethical and scientific standards.[14]
- *Accurate, Complete, and Unbiased Reporting of Research Results:* Research results, whether positive, negative, or non-significant, must be reported accurately and completely. Selective reporting of favorable results or the concealment of results that do not support the research hypothesis can be misleading and undermine the integrity of the scientific evidence. The discussion of results should be based on data and objective interpretation, acknowledging the limitations of the research and potential biases.[8]
- Sharing research findings in occupational health isn't just about getting published—it's about doing it the right way. That means aiming for credible journals and real scientific platforms, not random or low-quality outlets. But beyond the academic world, researchers also have a duty to loop back to the people involved—especially the workers or communities that were part of the research. The results need to be shared with them too, in a way that's easy to understand and actually useful for them, not just packed with jargon.[2]
- And let's be honest—no one's born with perfect knowledge of research ethics. That's why regular training on this stuff is super important. Research institutions and universities should set up ongoing ethics education that's not just a one-time thing. It should cover real case examples, tricky ethical situations, and walk people through the actual rules they'll need to follow. Whether you're a senior researcher, support staff, or a student just getting started, everyone should stay updated and involved.[7]

The Crucial Role of Stakeholders in Building a Culture of Ethics

So, when we talk about making sure research in occupational medicine is ethical, it's like putting together a big team. Everyone has a part to play, and if one piece is missing, it all falls apart. Here's how it breaks down:[12]

First up, you've got the researchers. They're the ones who actually do the work, so it's all on them to make sure they know what's right and wrong. They've gotta take the time to understand the rules, get trained, and, of course, follow the approved plan. They can't just do whatever they feel like and hope for the best. They set up the rules that everyone has to follow, especially when it comes to human subjects. They're the ones keeping the researchers and everyone else in check, making sure they're doing everything by the book.[4]

Then, the professional groups in occupational medicine need to make sure the people in their field are sticking to ethical standards. They write the codes, train the members, and keep everyone in line. If you're in that field, you've gotta follow those rules, or else. And let's not forget society and the workers. They have the right to expect that any research on their health or safety is done properly. Their opinions and concerns should matter, too. After all, they're the ones who are directly affected.[9]

At the end of the day, it's all about teamwork. Everyone needs to do their part—researchers, institutions, ethics committees, journals, funders, the government, professional organizations, and even the workers themselves. If everyone does their job right, then the research will be solid, ethical, and, most importantly, beneficial for everyone involved.[13]

Challenges and Strategies for Addressing Ethical Dilemmas in Occupational Medicine Research

When you're diving into occupational medicine research, things can get pretty tricky and lead to some serious ethical dilemmas. For example, there's always the issue of how to gather the data you need while respecting workers' privacy. Workers are giving up personal information, so it's important to balance that need with their rights to keep things private. It's not always easy to know where to draw the line. For details: [14]

- *Ethical Discussion and Reflection:* Encouraging open discussion and ethical reflection among research team members to identify and analyze potential ethical issues.[5]
- *Ethics Consultation:* Involving ethics experts or ethics committees to obtain perspectives and guidance in dealing with complex ethical dilemmas.[12]
- *Case Studies and Learning from Experience:* Using case studies and sharing experiences in handling ethical issues to improve understanding and ethical decision-making abilities.[13]

Conclusion

Making sure occupational health research sticks to ethics is super important for keeping science credible, earning public trust, and looking out for workers' well-being. It's not just about following the rules, but about every player—researchers, institutions, and committees—understanding and sticking to those ethics every step of the way.

In the end, ethics are like a compass guiding researchers. They help us stay true to our purpose, ensuring that every study contributes to the betterment of workers' lives and doesn't cause harm along the way. By sticking to strong ethical principles, we keep research on track and protect everyone involved, helping make progress in occupational health that's trustworthy and impactful. Lessons from studies such as Wicaksono et al[3]. (2022) and Zulaikha et et al[15] (2023) provide further evidence of the need for local implementation of global ethical standards.

References

1. LaDou J, Harrison R. CURRENT occupational & environmental medicine. 6th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education; 2018.
2. Davies H, Wells F, Flory J. Research ethics, governance and regulation in clinical trials. Abingdon: Routledge; 2019.
3. Wicaksono W, Wulandari A, Amka AR. Penerapan Prinsip Etika Penelitian dalam Kegiatan Skrining Kesehatan Mental di Lingkungan Kerja. Jurnal Ilmiah Safe & Nutritious 2022;1:6–13. https://jisn.org/index.php/jisn/article/view/14
4. Hulshof CTJ, Verbeek JH. Evidence-based occupational health. London: BMJ Publishing; 2019.
5. Wager E, Kleinert S. Responsible research publication: international standards for authors. Eastleigh: COPE; 2019.
6. Steneck NH. ORI introduction to the responsible conduct of research. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2018.
7. Fridman A, Fried LP. Public health and ethics: theory, policy and practice. Cham: Springer; 2019.
8. Beauchamp TL, Childress JF. Principles of biomedical ethics. 8th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.
9. Iavicoli S, Valenti A, Rondinone BM. Occupational health and safety in a globalized world: global and local perspectives. Cham: Springer; 2021.
10. Resnik DB. The ethics of research with human subjects: protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust. Cham: Springer; 2020.
11. Hanifah N, Rustika I. Ethical Challenges in Workplace Research: A Review of Mental Health Screening Practices in Indonesia. Indonesian J Commun Med 2021;1:1–9.https://ijcom.org/index.php/ijcom/article/view/2
12. Zion D, Lantos JD. Ethics and research with children: a case-based approach. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2020.
13. Grant MJ, Booth A. A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. Health Inf Libr J 2018;35:91–108.
14. Ridley D. The literature review: a step-by-step guide for students. 3rd ed. London: SAGE Publications; 2020.
15. Zulaikha N, Siregar MA. Authorship Ethics and Conflict of Interest in Occupational Health Publications. Indones J Commun Med 2023;1:40–47. https://www.ijcom.org/index.php/ijcom/article/view/15